

**SHS - Creteau Technology Center Renovation Committee**  
**Technology Center Conference Room**  
**April 20, 2016**  
**6:00 PM**

**Attending:** Mike Hopkins, Linda Casey, Paul Lynch, Matthew Pappas, Ray Turner, Pam Martin, Sean Peschel, Stacey Libby, Jennifer Marsh, Raymond Varney (Deputy Mayor), Lance Whitehead - LaVallee|Brensinger Architects

*Introductions and Welcome*

*Approve minutes from last meeting*

Meeting minutes were approved.

*Project Update: Lance Whitehouse*

Entrance to gymnasium was discussed due to the requirement to enter the CTC some distance away from the Gym. Who would be supervising students that are waiting for pickup from sport? Line of site will be looked at and possibly the proximity of the entrance to the gym.

- Two Options:
  - Angled View: **(Option 1)**
    - Windows are large to bring in natural light.
    - Bricks/masonry cannot match the main building due to cost of construction
    - Main entrance has a great deal of natural light due to large windows
    - Masonry would be striated with similar colors to match the color of existing bricks.
    - Remove Gables over the bridge and over the gym entrance.
  - Glass Option: **(Option 2)**
    - More glass in the front of the building.
    - Bank and restaurant would be visible
    - 2<sup>nd</sup> floor student lounge is visible.
    - Additional glass celebrates what is going on in the building
    - Main entrance area is set back with additional graphics added to the building.
    - Bank has an entirely separate entrance that would not require visitors to enter school building.
    - Does this option offer the possibility of a green roof? (50 people max)
- How do we increase use of the bank and restaurant?
  - Change the clientele. Have student days and public days. Parking will be an issue in that we would need to allow outside clientele access during the day.
  - Area businesses would be strongly encouraged to have meetings and clients here at Spaulding. Restaurant could be used for conference space for events and/or meetings.
  - The community has a need for additional meeting space.
  - Concern came up around should restaurant use be mixed with students and public. Concern is regarding security of students.
  - Bathroom access for public area will be available.
- Discussions:
  - Option 2: Can you create an angle on the side adjacent to the building so that a hallway for gym access can be created?
  - Can the brick wall section that abuts the building be changed to glass?

- General Comments:
  - It would be very beneficial to have the Rochester representation on the State legislative body. Need to voice the need for state building aide.
  - The disadvantage to waiting is that the cost escalation of a project is unforeseen. The estimate of cost escalation is approximately 5%. Lance recommends adding in a 7% buffer.
  - Some members expressed that the project feels rushed. The project started out at 10Million and then quickly went to 24 million. We also started out with 3 options and quickly went to a merged version. Option C was only 2 million dollars cheaper. With option C it was a 17 million dollar option that left out 4 programs. Other comments were made that the project should have never started at a figure as low as 10 million. The state required the adjustment in the timeline.
  - Mike explained how the 10 million dollar place holder came to be.
  - The renovations program did not have an additional staff added.
- Budget Discussion:
  - Lance handed out a total project budget. The yellow is not funded by state funding.
- What is the process for State payments?
  - The state will pay 72% of the bill when it comes in and the local money would then be funded by the city.
  - Funding can be found by applying for grants. It is easier to get grants for technology than it is for green construction.
- Action Items:
  - None at this time